Support Vector Machines

Some material on these is slides borrowed from Andrew Moore's machine learning tutorials located at:

Where Should We Draw the Line?

Margins

• Margin – The distance from the decision boundary to the closest point.

Support Vector Machine

- Find the boundary with the maximum margin.
- The points that determine the boundary are the support vectors.

Finding the Boundary...

• The equation for a plane:

$$
w \cdot x + b = 0
$$

• Suppose we have two classes, -1 and 1, we can use this equation for classification: $c(x)$ = $sign(w\!\cdot\! x\!+\!b)$

Visualizing the Boundary...

• We can get our perceptron to do this.

Creating A Margin

- Input-output pairs: (**x** i , t i), t i $=$ -1 or 1
- We don't just want our samples to be on the right side, we want them to be some distance from the boundary $w \cdot x_i + b > 0$ *for* $t_i = +1$ $w \cdot x_i + b < 0$ *for* $t_i = -1$ Instead of this

We want this

$$
w \cdot x_i + b \ge +1 \text{ for } t_i = +1
$$

$$
w \cdot x_i + b \le -1 \text{ for } t_i = -1
$$

Which is the same as this $\longrightarrow t_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq +1$

Two Boundaries...

Minimization

• The distance from a point, x, to the boundary can be expressed as: $|w \cdot x + b|$ ∥*w*∥

• This can be maximized by minimizing $||w||$.

\n- Minimize
$$
\frac{1}{2} ||w||^2
$$
 subject to $t_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq +1$, for all *i*.
\n- Determines the size of the margin Enforces correct classification
\n

Quadratic Programming

- 1 2 ∥*w*∥ 2 • Minimize $\frac{1}{2}||w||$ subject to $t_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq +1$, for all i.
- Minimizing a quadratic function subject to linear constraints... So What?
- This is a (convex) quadratic programming problem.
- What does that mean?
	- No local minima.
	- Good solvers exist.

Lagrange Multipliers

- Minimize $\frac{1}{2}||w||$ subject to $t_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq +1$ for all i. 1 2 ∥*w*∥ 2 t_i $(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq +1$
- \bullet Now, apply some mathematical hocus pocus....

Dual Formulation

● Maximize:

$$
L_D = \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j (\boldsymbol{x}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_j)
$$

subject to $\alpha_i \ge 0$ and $\sum_i \alpha_i t_i = 0$

• Once this is done we can get our weights according to:

$$
w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} t_{i} x_{i}
$$

Two Things to Notice

$$
\mathbf{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i
$$

• Most of the α_i will be 0. Those that are non-zero correspond to support vectors.

$$
L_{D} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} t_{i} t_{j} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{j}})
$$

• The inputs only show up in the form of dot products.

What About This Case?

A 1-D Classification Problem

• Where will an SVM put the decision boundary?

1-D Problem Continued

- No problem.
- Equidistant from the two classes.

The Non-Separable Case

• Now we have a problem...

Increase the Dimensionality

• Use our old data points x i to create a new set of data points

$$
Z_{i}^{i}
$$

$$
\bullet \ \mathbf{z}_{i} = (\mathbf{x}_{i}, \ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2})
$$

http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~awm/tutorials/

Increase the Dimensionality

The Blessing of Dimensionality (?)

- This works in general.
- When you increase the dimensionality of your data, you increase the chance that it will be linearly separable.
- In an N-1 dimensional space you should always be able to separate N data points. (Unless you are unlucky.)

Let's do it!

- Define a function $\phi(x)$ that maps our low dimensional data into a very high dimensional space.
- Now we can just rewrite our optimization to use these high dimensional vectors:

$$
L_{D} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} t_{i} t_{j} [\phi(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) \cdot \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})]
$$

subject to $0 \le \alpha_{i} \le C$ and $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} t_{i} = 0$

• What's the problem?

The Kernel Trick

- \bullet It turns out we can often find a kernel function K such that: $K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i) \cdot \phi(x_j)$ $\big)$
- In fact, almost any kernel function corresponds to a dot product in some space.
- Now we have:

$$
L_D = \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j K(x_i, x_j)
$$

subject to $0 \le \alpha_i \le C$ and $\sum_i \alpha_i t_i = 0$

• Support vector machines are also called kernel machines.

The Kernel Trick

- We get to perform classification in very high dimensional spaces for almost no additional cost.
- Some Kernels:
	- Polynomial: $K(x_i, x_j) = (x_i \cdot x_j + 1)^q$

- Radial Basis Function:
$$
K(x_i, x_j) = \exp \left[\frac{-\|x_i - x_j\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right]
$$

- Sigmoidal:
$$
K(x_i, x_j) = \tanh(2x_i \cdot x_j + 1)
$$

Nice Things about SVM's

- Good generalization because of margin maximization.
- Not many parameters to pick.
	- No learning rate, no hidden layer size.
	- Just C, and possibly some parameters for kernel function.
	- You also have to pick a kernel function.
- No problems with local minima.
- What about SVM regression? It's possible, but we won't talk about it.