




Representing Maps: Topological

- Represent relative locations using a graph structure.
 - + Good for high level navigation
 - Difficult to build autonomously
 - Not good for low-level localization and navigation



Representing Maps: Geometric Landmark Based

- Store the geometric location of recognizable landmarks.
 - Maybe artificial beacons or markers.
 - Maybe distinctive environmental features.


- + Memory-efficient
- + Allows precise localization
- Landmark mis-identification can cause problems
- May not be ideal for identification: only landmark positions are stored, not necessarily the positions of all obstacles


Representing Maps: Occupancy Grid

- Divide the environment into grid cells, maintain an "occupied" probability for each cell.
 - Memory intensive (particularly in 3D)
 - + Good for navigation
 - + Good for localization
 - + Relatively simple to create autonomously


Mapping w/ Occupancy Grids

• Relatively easy if we know the robot pose:





Increase occupied probability



Decrease occupied probability

SLAM – Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

• Recall the localization problem:

$$P(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{0:t}, \mathbf{u}_{0:t})$$

• The SLAM problem is reassuringly familiar:

$$P(\mathbf{x}_t, \Theta | \mathbf{z}_{0:t}, \mathbf{u}_{0:t})$$

- Where Θ represents the map.
- Before we wanted a probability distribution over all possible robot poses.
- Now we want a joint probability distribution over all possible robot poses and all possible maps.

"Distribution over possible maps" is not as manageable as "distribution over poses"

SLAM "Solution"

- Prediction: $Bel^{-}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \Theta) = \int P(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t}) Bel(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \Theta) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$
- Correction:

$$Bel(\mathbf{x}_t, \Theta) = \eta P(\mathbf{z}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_t, \Theta) Bel^{-}(\mathbf{x}_t, \Theta)$$

Adapted from Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping (SLAM): Part 1 The Essential Algorithms, Hugh Durrant-Whyte, 2006

(Extended) Kalman Filter SLAM

- Most appropriate for landmark-based map.
- Let's look at the example from the textbook.
- Problems:
 - Not clear how to use this for occupancy grids
 - The covariance matrix gets really big as the number of landmarks grows

Particle Filter SLAM: Problems

- Covering the space of possible poses and maps with particles is not practical:
 - "Pose particle": 3-6 dimensions
 - "Map particle" for a (tiny) 10x10 grid: 100 dimensions
 - Joint map x pose particle: 300-600 dimensions

Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter

- Solution/Approximation:
 - Each pose particle has an associated map.
 - Each map is updated under the assumption that its particle represents the correct pose.
 - The map may be landmark-based or occupancy gridbased.
- The ROS gmapping package uses this approach