

# CS139 – static and this



# Warm Up Question

- Here is a (functionally correct) solution to our earlier statistics lab.
- Any style problems?

```
/**
 * Calculate the mean of a collection of doubles.
 *
 * @param numbers - The array of doubles
 * @return The mean, or Double.NaN if the array is empty or null
 */
public static double mean(double[] numbers) {
    double average = 0;
    double result;

    if (numbers == null || numbers.length == 0) {
        result = Double.NaN;
    } else {

        for (int i = 0; i < numbers.length; i++) {
            average += numbers[i];
        }
        result = average / numbers.length;
    }
    return result;
}
```

# Warm Up Question

- Here is a (functionally correct) solution to our earlier statistics lab.

This variable does not store an average!

- Any style problems?

```
/**
 * Calculate the mean of a collection of doubles.
 *
 * @param numbers - The array of doubles
 * @return The mean, or Double.NaN if the array is empty or null
 */
public static double mean(double[] numbers) {
    double average = 0;
    double result;

    if (numbers == null || numbers.length == 0) {
        result = Double.NaN;
    } else {

        for (int i = 0; i < numbers.length; i++) {
            average += numbers[i];
        }
        result = average / numbers.length;
    }
    return result;
}
```

# Warm Up Question

- Here is a (functionally correct) solution to our earlier statistics lab.
- Any style problems? Better.

```
/**
 * Calculate the mean of a collection of doubles.
 *
 * @param numbers - The array of doubles
 * @return The mean, or Double.NaN if the array is empty or null
 */
public static double mean(double[] numbers) {
    double sum = 0;
    double result;

    if (numbers == null || numbers.length == 0) {
        result = Double.NaN;
    } else {

        for (int i = 0; i < numbers.length; i++) {
            sum += numbers[i];
        }
        result = sum / numbers.length;
    }
    return result;
}
```

# this and static

---

- Let's look back at Cars.java ...

# Why Are Instance Fields Private?

---

- The challenges of writing correct software:
  - <http://www.viddler.com/v/42c8494f> (38:00)
  - This video was produced in 1992, OO programming became popular in the mid to late 90's

# Why Are Instance Fields Private?

- The challenges of writing correct software:
  - <http://www.viddler.com/v/42c8494f> (38:00)
    - This video was produced in 1992, OO programming became popular in the mid to late 90's
- **encapsulation** – Bundling data with the methods that act on that data
- **data hiding** – Preventing outside code from directly accessing data
  - (Terms are often used interchangeably.)
- Goal is to create classes that can be treated as **black boxes**
  - We don't need to know or care how they work to use them
  - We only need to understand the functionality they provide
- Related to the idea of minimizing **coupling**.
- In response to the video: we want software that *does* have proximity of cause and effect.

```
import java.util.ArrayList;

public class Person {

    private String name;
    private ArrayList<Person> friends;

    public Person(String name) {
        this.name = name;
        friends = new ArrayList<Person>();
    }

    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }

    public void setName(String name) {
        this.name = name;
    }

    public ArrayList<Person> getFriends() {
        return friends;
    }

    public void addFriend(Person newFriend) {
        friends.add(newFriend);
    }

    public String toString() {
        return "Person: " + name;
    }

}
```

- Coding a social media application “FriendFaces”.
- Where is the flaw in our data hiding?



```
import java.util.ArrayList;

public class Person {

    private String name;
    private ArrayList<Person> friends;

    public Person(String name) {
        this.name = name;
        friends = new ArrayList<Person>();
    }

    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }

    public void setName(String name) {
        this.name = name;
    }

    public ArrayList<Person> getFriends() {
        return friends;
    }

    public void addFriend(Person newFriend) {
        friends.add(newFriend);
    }

    public String toString() {
        return "Person: " + name;
    }

}
```

- Coding a social media application “FriendFaces”.
- Where is the flaw in our data hiding?
- Here



```
import java.util.ArrayList;

public class Person {

    private String name;
    private ArrayList<Person> friends;

    public Person(String name) {
        this.name = name;
        friends = new ArrayList<Person>();
    }

    public String getName() {
        return name;
    }

    public void setName(String name) {
        this.name = name;
    }

    public ArrayList<Person> getFriends() {
        // Use ArrayList copy constructor!
        return new ArrayList<Person>(friends);
    }

    public void addFriend(Person newFriend) {
        friends.add(newFriend);
    }

    public String toString() {
        return "Person: " + name;
    }

}
```

- Coding a social media application “FriendFaces”.
- Where is the flaw in our data hiding?
- Fixed!



# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

```
public Person(Person other) {
```

```
}
```

# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK???

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.name;  
    friends = other.friends;  
}
```

# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK??? NO! Now we have two people who share a single friend list.

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.name;  
    friends = other.friends;  
}
```

# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK???

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.name;  
    friends = new ArrayList<Person>(other.friends);  
}
```

# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK??? Yes! Now the new person has a copy of the list of friends.

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.name;  
    friends = new ArrayList<Person>(other.friends);  
}
```

Why don't we need to copy the name?  
String *is* a reference type.

# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK??? Yes! Now the new person has a copy of the list of friends.

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.name;  
    friends = new ArrayList<Person>(other.friends);  
}
```

Why don't we need to copy the name?  
String is a reference type. String is immutable. No way for it to be changed without my permission (or at all).



# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK???

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.getName();  
    friends = other.getFriends();  
}
```

# Exercise...

- Create a **copy constructor** for the Person class (create a new Person that is exactly like an existing Person.)

OK??? Yes! This is the best solution. `getFriends` has already been coded to return a copy.

```
public Person(Person other) {  
    name = other.getName();  
    friends = other.getFriends();  
}
```