Artificial Intelligence

Game Playing (Adversarial Search) Lecture 7

CS 444 – Spring 2020 Dr. Kevin Molloy Department of Computer Science James Madison University



Announcements

- PA1 Due tomorrow (Feb 5). Turn in via canvas.
- First exam is next Thursday (Feb 13)
- Last time we discussed CSP:
 - Backtracking search
 - Least Constraining Value
 - Min-Conflicts



Hill Climbing Quiz



- Starting from X, where do you end up?
- Starting from Y, where do you end up?
- Starting from Z, where do you end up?



Outline for Today

- Games vs Search Problems
- Perfect Play
 - Minimax Decision
 - Alpha-Beta Pruning
- Games of Imperfect Information
- Game Playing Summary



Game Playing – Adversarial Search

Search in a multi-agent, competitive environment

Mathematical game theory treats any multi-agent environment as a game, with possibly co-operative behaviors (study of economies)

	Deterministic	chance
Perfect information	Chess, checkers, go, othello	Backgammon, monopoly
Imperfect information	Batteship, blind tictactoe	Bridge, poker, scrabble

Most games studied in AI: deterministic, turn-taking, two-player, zero-sum games of perfect information



Game Playing – Adversarial Search

deterministic, turn-taking, two-player, zero-sum games of perfect information

Zero-sum: utilities of the two players sum to 0 (no win-win) Deterministic: precise rules with known outcomes Perfect information: fully observable

Search algorithms designed for such games make use of interesting general techniques (meta-heuristics) such as evaluation functions, search pruning and more.

However, games are to AI what grand prix racing is to automobile design.

Our objective: study the three main adversarial search algorithms [minimax, alpha-beta pruning, and expectiminimax] and meta-heuristics they employ



Game Playing as a Search Problem

Two turn-taking agents in a zero-sum game: Max (starts game) and Min

Max's goal is to maximize it utility. Min's goal is to minimize Max's utility

UNIVERSITY



Game Playing as a Search Problem

Formal definition of a game as a search problem:

- $>S_0 \leftarrow$ initial state that specifies how game starts
- > PLAYER(s) \leftarrow which player has move in state s
- >ACTIONS(s) \leftarrow returns set of legal moves in state s
- ➢RESULT(s; a) ← transition model that denes result of an action a on a state s
- \succ TERMINAL-TEST(s) \leftarrow true on states that are game ends, false otherwise
- ➤UTILITY(s; p) ← utility/objective function assigns a numeric value for game that ends in terminal state s with player p

Concept of game/search tree valid here:

Chess: 35 moves per player \rightarrow branching factor b = 35Ends at typically 50 moves per player $\rightarrow m = 100$ Search tree has $35^{100} \approx 10^{40}$ distinct nodes !

JAMES MADIS UNIVERSIT

Game Playing as a Search Problem

Concept of game/search tree valid here:

Chess: 35 moves per player \rightarrow branching factor b = 35

Ends at typically 50 moves $\rightarrow m = 100$

Search tree has $35^{100} \approx 10^{40}$ distinct nodes !

How to work with this?

- **Pruning**: how to ignore portions of the tree without impacting strategy
- Evaluation function: estimate utility of a state without a complete search

Some games have search trees that are too big:

- Time limits \implies unlikely to find goal, must approximate
- Many "tricks" (meta-heuristics) employed to look ahead



Game Tree (two-player, deterministic, turns)



Х

Minimax Decisions

Perfect play for deterministic, perfect-information games

Idea: Choose move to position with the highest minimax value = best achievable payoff against best play

Simple, 1 ply game





Minimax-Value Algorithm

function Minimax-Value(state) returns minimax-value/utility
 if Terminal-Test(state) then return Utility(state)
 if NEXT-AGENT is MAX then return Max-VALUE(state)
 if NEXT-AGENT is MIN then return Min-Value(State)

function Max-Value(state) returns a utility value

 $V \leftarrow -\infty$

```
for each successor of state
```

```
v \leftarrow Max(v, MiniMax-Value(successor))
```

return v

function Min-Value(state) returns a utility value

 $V \leftarrow \infty$

for each successor of state Do v ← Min(v, MiniMax-Value(successor))

return v



Tracing on the Board

Trace minimax-value on 2-ply game below updating your v's





Minimax Decision Algorithm

function Minimax-Decision(state) **returns** an action Return $argmax_{a \in actions}$ Min-VALUE (RESULT(state, a)

function Max-Value(state) returns a utility value If Terminal-Test(state) then return Utility(state) $v \leftarrow -\infty$ for a in ACTIONS(state) Do v \leftarrow Max(v, Min-Value(RESULT(s,a))) return v

function Min-Value(state) returns a utility value If Terminal-Test(state) then return Utility(state) $v \leftarrow \infty$ for a in ACTIONS(state)

Do v \leftarrow Min(v, MAX-Value(RESULT(s,a)))

return v

Properties of Minimax

Complete? Yes, if the tree is finite (chess has specific rules for this)

Optimal? Yes, against an optimal opponent. Otherwise?

Otherwise, even better Example?

Time complexity? O(b^m)

Space complexity? O(bm) (depth-first exploration)

Chess: $b \approx 35 \text{ m} \approx 100$. Exact solution completely intractable infeasible

Do we need to explore every path?



Game Trees

In realistic games, cannot explore the full game tree.

Number of game states MiniMax explores is exponential in the depth of the tree.

What to do?

Remove from consideration entire subtrees (pruning)

Find a way not to have to reach/explore the leaves to determine the value of a state























α - β Pruning Example

••

••

 α is the best value (to MAX) found so far off the current path.

If V is worse than α , MAX will avoid it \Rightarrow prune that branch.

Similarity, β for MIN



 α : MAX's best option on path to root β : MIN's best option on path to root



<u>Pruning by Maintaining lpha and eta</u>

function Alpha-Beta-Value(state, α , β) returns value/utility *If Terminal-Test(state) then return Utility(state)* **If NEXT AGENT is MAX then return MAX-VALUE(state,** α , β) **If NEXT AGENT is MIN then return Min-Value(State** α , β)

function Max-Value(state α , β) returns a utility value

```
V ← -∞
```

```
for each successor of state

v \leftarrow Max(v, Alpha-Beta-Value(successor \alpha, \beta))

if v \ge \beta then return v
```

```
\alpha \leftarrow MAX(\alpha, v)
```

return v

function Min-Value(state, α , β) returns a utility value
$V \leftarrow \infty$
for each successor of state
$v \leftarrow Alpha-Beta-Value(successor \alpha, \beta))$
If $V \le \alpha$ then return v
$\beta \leftarrow MIN(\beta, v)$
return v

Tracing on the Board

Trace alpha/beta on game below





Complete? Yes, if the tree is finite

Optimal? Yes, although intermediate nodes may have wrong values when subtrees are pruned

Time complexity? $O(b^{m/2}) \Rightarrow$ doubles solvable depth; with "perfect ordering"

With random ordering, time complexity $\approx O(b^{3m/4})$

Unfortunately, chess has 35⁵⁰ so, it is still intractable.



Games of Imperfect Information

E.g., card games, where opponent's initial cards are unknown

Typically, we can calculate a probability for each possible deal

Seems just like having one dig dice roll at the beginning of the game Idea:

Compute the minimax value of each action in each deal

Special case: if an action is optimal for all deals, it's optimal

GIB (best bridge program) approximates this idea by:

- 1. Generating 100 deals consistent with bidding information
- 2. Picking the action that wins most tricks (turns) on average



Game Playing Summary

Games are fun to work on! (and dangerously obsessive)

Illustrate several important points about AI

- Perfection is usually unattainable \Rightarrow most approximate
- Good idea to think about what to think about
- Uncertainty constrains the assignment of values to states
- Optimal decisions depend on information state, not the real state
- Domain-specific tricks can be generatlized to metaheuristics of possible relevance for search of

