### **CS 374 Code Review Form**

Group name:	Reviewed by:	

# 1. Strengths

Describe <u>at least two</u> strengths about the design and implementation, and explain **why** they are strengths.

### 2. Areas for Improvement

Describe <u>at least two</u> areas for improvement of the application and **how** to achieve those improvements.

## 3. Insights into Development

Describe <u>at least two</u> insights you gained from this code review, and explain **how** they are helpful to you.

#### 4. Source Code Rubric

Category	Score: A	Score: B	Score: C	Score: D
Formatting	consistent and helpful whitespace, indentation, and syntax throughout	mostly consistent whitespace, indentation, and syntax	inconsistent whitespace, indentation, and syntax	non-existent whitespace, inconsistent indentation or syntax
Code Style	clean and understandable code structures, insightful documentation throughout, very descriptive variable names	mostly clean and understandable code structures, some useful documentation, mostly- descriptive variable names	inappropriate or confusing code structures, useless documentation, some poor variable names	inappropriate and confusing code structures, useless or non- existent documentation, many poor variable names
Elegance	clean and efficient algorithms, easy-to- follow control flow, clear code dependencies	mostly clean algorithms, mostly-apparent control flow, mostly-clear code dependencies	unclear or inefficient algorithms, hard-to- follow control flow, mostly-unclear code dependencies	broken algorithms, impossible-to-follow control flow, unclear code dependencies
Overall	model solution	good solution	okay, but flawed	unacceptable