

CS 470 Poster Review Rubric (Spring 2026)

Reviewer Name: _____ Presenter Name: _____

Group: ☐ FLAC ☐ GameOfLife ☐ krABMaga
 ☐ LAMMPS ☐ MiniWeather ☐ MPRESBLAS
 ☐ NetworkKit ☐ OpenMC ☐ ParallelNBody
 ☐ Stockfish ☐ SuiteSparse

Category	0-1	2-3	4-5	Score
Content	Low-quality analysis or technical merit, and lack of significant insight or contribution	Decent analysis and technical merit, possibly with questionable insight or contribution	Solid analysis and high technical merit with significant insight(s) and contribution(s).	
Formatting	Inconsistent style and/or misuse of color, graphics, headings, or whitespace.	Some inconsistencies, spelling/grammar mistakes, style issues, or flow problems.	Clean and consistent style with no major presentation flaws.	
Presentation	Poorly prepared, significant confusion, or inability to explain topics sufficiently.	Minor communication problems (speaking too or quickly, hesitation, lots of “uh” or “um” interjections).	Confident and professional. Answered questions well and defined terms as needed.	
Effort	Lack of clear effort, incomplete approach, or other significant flaws.	Solid effort but missing clear results. May have experienced significant setbacks.	Clear time and effort investment with strong conclusions and/or contributions.	
Overall	Deficient	Satisfactory	Excellent	

Reviewer notes/comments: