CS 470 Research Poster Review Rubric (Spring 2025)

Reviewer Name: _____   Presenter Name: _____

Group: □ Box2d   □ Chrono   □ Gadget-4
           □ Godot   □ LAMMPS   □ LULESH
           □ OpenLB   □ Postgres/Citus   □ Quest

Category	0-1	2-3	4-5	Score
Content	Poor content, trivial problem (e.g., naturally parallel), or low-quality solution/analysis.	Decent problem and level of technical content, possibly with questionable utility or scaling.	High technical merit and significant contributions (quality code and/or analysis) w/ good scaling.	
Formatting	Inconsistent style and/or misuse of color, graphics, headings, or whitespace.	Some inconsistencies, spelling/grammar mistakes, style issues, or flow problems.	Clean and consistent style with no major presentation flaws.	
Presentation	Poorly prepared, significant confusion, or inability to explain topic sufficiently.	Minor communication problems (speaking too softly or quickly, hesitation, lots of "uh" or "um" interjections).	Confident and professional. Answered questions well and defined terms as needed.	
Effort	Lack of clear effort, incomplete approach, or other significant flaws.	Solid effort but missing clear results. May have experienced significant setbacks.	Clear time and effort investment with strong conclusions and/or contributions.	
Overall	Deficient	Satisfactory	Excellent	

Reviewer notes/comments: