

# CS 470 Research Poster Review Rubric (Spring 2024)

Reviewer Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Presenter Name: \_\_\_\_\_

- Group:       ClimateSimulation       CollisionDetection       CUDAKernelPower  
 LanguageParallelism       P3DHTExtension       RAJAFramework  
 ReducedPrecision       RigidBodyPhysicsODE       TSPHeuristics

Category	0-2	3-4	5	Score
Content	Minimal contribution, trivial problem (e.g., naturally parallel), or low-quality solution/analysis.	Clear contributions and good quality, possibly with questionable utility or relevance.	High technical merit and significant, novel contributions.	
Formatting	Inconsistent style and/or misuse of color, graphics, headings, text, or whitespace.	Some inconsistencies, spelling/grammar mistakes, style issues, graphical flaws, or flow problems.	Clean, effective, and consistent style with effective visuals and no major or minor flaws.	
Effort	Lack of clear effort, incomplete approach, or other significant flaws.	Solid effort but missing clear results. May have experienced significant setbacks.	Clear time and effort investment with strong conclusions and/or contributions.	
Presentation	Poorly prepared, significant confusion, or inability to explain topic sufficiently.	Minor communication problems (speaking too quickly, hesitation, lots of interjections).	Confident and professional. Answered questions well and defined terms as needed.	
Overall	Unacceptable/Deficient	Satisfactory/Good	Exceptional	

Reviewer notes/comments: