CS 470 Spring 2024

Mike Lam, Professor





a.k.a. "Why on earth do Alice and Bob need to share so many secrets?!?"

Content taken from the following:

"Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms" by Andrew S. Tanenbaum and Maarten Van Steen (Chapter 9) Various online sources

Security Issues ("CIA Triad")

- Confidentiality: data is only disclosed to authorized users
- Integrity: changes can only be made by authorized users
- Availability: data is consistently accessible to authorized users
- Security threats
 - Interception
 - Interruption
 - Modification
 - Fabrication





- Interception: has data been received by an attacker?
 - Usually reserved for receipt of *unencrypted* data
- Interruption: can a service be disrupted by an attacker?
 - Sometimes via multiple sources
- **Modification**: can an attacker change data during transmission?
 - Enables "person-in-the-middle" attacks
- **Fabrication**: can an attacker create legitimate-looking data?
 - Does not require existing communication

- An attacker manages to overwhelm a popular social media website by sending millions of messages via a botnet. What threat model does this correspond to?
 - A. Interception
 - B. Interruption
 - C. Modification
 - D. Fabrication
 - E. None of the above

- An attacker manages to steal your email password using a packet sniffer at a coffee shop. What threat model does this correspond to?
 - A. Interception
 - [–] B. Interruption
 - C. Modification
 - D. Fabrication
 - E. None of the above

- An attacker tricks a web server into revealing sensitive information by forging a packet that looks like a normal request. Which threat model does this correspond to?
 - A. Interception
 - B. Interruption
 - C. Modification
 - D. Fabrication
 - E. None of the above

Security policies

- Security policy: description of actions allowed in a system
 - E.g., "users in group 'students' may read files located in /shared but cannot write to them"
- Policy enforcement mechanisms
 - Encryption
 - Authentication
 - Authorization
 - Auditing



Security policies

- **Encryption**: are messages secure against eavesdroppers?
 - Variation on end-to-end principle
- Authentication: are you connecting to the real recipient?
 - Issue of identity verification
- Authorization: do you have permission to perform this action?
 - Intersects with business/policy concerns
- **Auditing**: has the system been compromised?
 - Often bound by legal requirements

Least privilege

- Principle Of "Least Privilege" (POLP)
 - Every process or user should only be able to access resources or perform actions that are *strictly necessary*
 - Systems should be designed to *minimize privilege*
 - Limits vulnerability of the system to compromised components
 - Minimizes the need for full trust in participants
 - Social engineering can compromise even well-meaning participants

Least privilege

- The principle of "least privilege" often reveals a tension between security and
 - A. scalability
 - B. consistency
 - C. partition tolerance
 - D. convenience
 - E. availability

Trust

- How much of your computer do you *trust*?
 - (and what does that even mean?)
- "Reflections on Trusting Trust"
 - A compiler virus that inserts a backdoor into login()
 - It also re-inserts itself to any further compilers
 - Ken Thompson Turing Award lecture (1984) https://www.ece.cmu.edu/~ganger/712.fall02/papers/p761-thompson.pdf
- Trusted Computing Base (TCB)
 - Minimal component of a system trusted to enforce security policies
 - Sometimes a physically-separate ROM-based processor
 - Hidden encryption key inaccessible to the rest of the system
 - Trusted Computing Group's Trusted Platform Module (TPM)

compil	e(s)
char .	\$;
1	
	if(match(s, *pattern1*)) {
	compile ("toug1"):
	return;
	1
	if(match(s, *pattern 2*))
	compile ("bug 2");
	retum;
	1
1	

Security policy enforcement

Encryption

Hash functions

- One-way hash functions w/ collision resistance
 - Computationally infeasible to reverse
 - MD5: 128-bit fixed-length message digest
 - SHA-1 / SHA-2 / SHA-256 / SHA-512



One iteration of SHA-1

SHA1("The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog") = 2fd4e1c67a2d28fced849ee1bb76e7391b93eb12

SHA1("The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy cog") = de9f2c7fd25e1b3afad3e85a0bd17d9b100db4b3

- A, B, C, D and E are 32-bit words of the state;
- F is a nonlinear function that varies;
 - $<<<_n$ denotes a left bit rotation by n places;
 - n varies for each operation;
 - W, is the expanded message word of round t;
 - K_{t} is the round constant of round t;
 - + denotes addition modulo 2³²

• Terminology

- Plaintext: original message
- Ciphertext: encrypted plaintext
- Nonce: random number that is only used once
- Encrypt: turn plaintext into ciphertext
 - $C = E_{K}(P)$
 - Usually based on a one-way hash function
- Decrypt: turn ciphertext into plaintext
 - $P = D_{K}(C)$
 - Alternatively: $P = D_{K}(E_{K}(P))$
- Cryptographic system: pair of D() and E() functions

- Symmetric (P = $D_{K}(E_{K}(P))$) vs. asymmetric (P = $D_{KD}(E_{KE}(P))$)
 - Same key vs. key pair
 - Private key vs. public/private keys
- Symmetric (e.g., Advanced Encryption Standard (AES))
 - Various bitwise operations with different key values
 - Fast to encrypt/decrypt, relies on robust secret keys
 - Relatively secure against quantum computing attacks
- Asymmetric (e.g., Rivest, Shamir, Adleman (RSA))
 - Multiplication and modulus operations with large prime keys
 - Signing (encrypt w/ private) and secure messaging (encrypt w/ public)
 - Slow to encrypt/decrypt
 - Relies on difficulty of prime factorization or elliptic curve discrete logarithms

- Why are one-way hash functions used for cryptography?
 - A. They don't require floating-point operations
 - B. They are computationally expensive to compute
 - C. They are computationally expensive to reverse
 - D. They generate true random numbers
 - E. They generate pseudo-random numbers

Elliptic curve cryptography

- Elliptic curves (e.g., $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$)
 - Horizontal symmetry, and any non-vertical line will intersect the curve in at most three places
 - "Dot" operation: given two points, find third and then reflect
 - Very difficult to undo! (essentially a one-way hash)
 - ECDSA is a variant of DSA that uses elliptic curves



- Suppose you already have a shared secret with a friend. Which technology is best for transferring a very large (multi-GB) file with that friend?
 - A. AES
 - B. RSA
 - [–] C. MD5
 - ⁻ D. SHA-1
 - [–] E. SHA-256

Security policy enforcement

Authentication

Authentication

- A secure channel provides security on an unsecured network
 - Requires some kind of setup first
 - Protects against interception, modification, and fabrication
 - Cannot prevent interruption (recall CAP theorem)
 - Issue: authentication (verifying the identity of the recipient)
 - Issue: establishing shared secrets (after verifying identity)
- Security protocols
 - Shared-key authentication (requires pairwise secrets)
 - Needham-Schroeder authentication (uses central server)
 - Key signing parties (physical exchange of keys)
 - Diffie-Helman key exchange (uses public messaging)

Shared-key authentication

- Basic challenge-response protocol
 - Alice contacts Bob ("A")
 - Bob issues a challenge (" $R_{_B}$ ") and receives a response ($R_{_B}$ encrypted using shared key " $K_{_{\!\!A,B}}$ ")
 - Alice also issues a challenge (" R_A ") and receives a similar response
 - Issue: requires shared key



from Tanenbaum and Van Steen (Ch. 9)

Shared-key authentication

- What is the minimum number of steps for a challenge-response protocol, assuming that neither entity has contacted the other yet (but assuming that they do have a shared key)?
 - A. 2
 - B. 3
 - C. 4
 - D. 5
 - E.6

Needham-Schroeder authentication

- Uses a central Key Distribution Center (KDC)
 - Alice sends a nonce to the KDC to request communication with Bob
 - The nonce prevents a replay attack using an old (compromised) K_{B,KDC}
 - Alice receives a new shared key $(K_{A,B})$ as well as an encrypted copy to send to Bob
 - Bob and Alice then exchange challenges and responses using this shared key



Figure 9-17. The Needham-Schroeder authentication protocol.



Needham-Schroeder authentication

- Kerberos is similar, but uses two servers:
 - Authentication Server (AS) to establish identity (authentication)
 - Ticket Granting Server (TGS) to verify permissions (authorization) and set up shared key



Kerberos



Figure 9-23. Authentication in Kerberos.



Figure 9-24. Setting up a secure channel in Kerberos.

Public keys

- Private keys are used to sign documents by encrypting them
 - Public key can also be used to encrypt a document for a single recipient (the one who holds the private key)
- A certificate is a signed document claiming to own a public key
 - Only the public key can decrypt the document, proving it was encrypted using the corresponding private key
- At a key signing party, participants exchange public keys
 - This allows others to later sign a certificate containing a known public key (thus vouching for its authenticity)
 - Purely peer-to-peer; no central server required

Public keys

- Issues: scaling and certificate revocation
 - Revocation lists and certificate lifetime limits
- In a large distributed system, a Public-Key Infrastructure (PKI) provides scalable certificate management
 - Usually implemented using trusted third-party certificate authorities (CAs)
 - CAs issue certifications, handle authorization requests, and revoke certificates when necessary
 - Domain validation (DV) vs. organization/extended validation (OV/EV)

Let's Encrypt

- Open source and free certificate authority
 - Goal: make HTTPS (encrypted HTTP) ubiquitous
 - Automated Certificate Management Environment (ACME) protocol for certificate issuing



Figure 2: ACME protocol. This diagram illustrates how an ACME client can obtain a certificate without human interaction. In the dashed region, the client proves ownership of the domain using an HTTP-based challenge.

Diffie-Hellman key exchange

- Allows distributed entities to establish a shared secret via unsecured channels
- Can be extended to more than two entities
- Resists person-in-the-middle attacks
 - Third party pretends to be other conversant
 - 1. Alice and Bob agree to use a modulus p = 23 and base g = 5
 - 2. Alice chooses a secret integer a = 6, then sends Bob $A = g^a \mod p$

```
    A = 5<sup>6</sup> mod 23 = 8
```

3. Bob chooses a secret integer b = 15, then sends Alice $B = g^b \mod p$

```
    B = 5<sup>15</sup> mod 23 = 19
```

- 4. Alice computes $s = B^a \mod p$
 - s = 19⁶ mod 23 = 2
- 5. Bob computes $s = A^b \mod p$

```
s = 8<sup>15</sup> mod 23 = 2
```

6. Alice and Bob now share a secret (the number 2).

Both Alice and Bob have arrived at the same value s, because, under mod p,

```
A^b \mod p = g^{ab} \mod p = g^{ba} \mod p = B^a \mod p^{[9]}
```

More specifically,

```
(g^a \mod p)^b \mod p = (g^b \mod p)^a \mod p
```



Security policy enforcement

Authorization

Authorization

- Access control mechanisms enforce authorization constraints
 - Internal vs. external access control
 - Firewalls prevent external access to a host or internal network
 - Defends against Denial-of-Service (DoS) or distributed DoS (DDoS) attacks
 - Access control lists/matrices track user permissions



Unix file permissions

file: .
owner: studentid
group: csmajor
user:instructorid:rwx
user:graderid:rwx
user:studentid:rwx
group:faculty:r-x
group:csmajor:---

Access control list on stu

Authorization

- A directory service provides internal distributed authorization and access control
 - Handles user management, group membership, and password storage
 - Often distributed and/or replicated among multiple servers
 - Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) for communication
 - Authentication provided by protocols like Kerberos
 - Example: Active Directory
- A single sign-on service provides authorization for multiple applications or systems
 - Often provides seamless hand-off of an authentication ticket
 - May also use a directory service
 - Examples: Facebook Connect, OAuth, OpenID, Shibboleth

Security policy enforcement

Auditing

Auditing

- Access logs provide an audit trail for a system
 - Who can access the logs? Who can modify them?
 - Encryption is useful here
 - Append-only logs provide guarantees against tampering using checksums and/or cryptographic signing
 - Bitcoin (and other cryptocurrencies) uses an append-only blockchain of cryptographically-signed transactions to preserve financial integrity
 - Demo: https://andersbrownworth.com/blockchain/blockchain



Security

- What security concern does the Needham-Schroeder protocol primarily address?
 - A. Encryption
 - B. Authentication
 - C. Authorization
 - D. Auditing
 - E. None of the above

Security

- What security concern does blockchain technology primarily address?
 - A. Encryption
 - B. Authentication
 - C. Authorization
 - D. Auditing
 - E. None of the above

Security

- What security concern does the RSA algorithm primarily address?
 - A. Encryption
 - B. Authentication
 - C. Authorization
 - D. Auditing
 - E. None of the above