Optimization
int main() {
    int x = 4 + 5;
    return x;
}
Optimization is Hard

• **Problem:** it's hard to reason about all possible executions
  - Preconditions and inputs may differ
  - Optimizations should be correct and efficient in all cases

• Optimization tradeoff: investment vs. payoff
  - "Better than naïve" is fairly easy
  - "Optimal" is impossible
  - Real world: somewhere in between
    • Better speedups with more static analysis
    • Usually worth the added compile time
    • Requires working at multiple levels of granularity
    • Many active areas of research
Optimization (Ch. 8-11)

- **Local**
  - Local value numbering (8.4.1)
  - Tree-height balancing (8.4.2)
  - Peephole optimization (11.5)

- **Regional**
  - Superlocal value numbering (8.5.1)
  - Loop unrolling (8.5.2)

- **Global**
  - Constant propagation (9.3.6, 10.7.1)
  - Dead code elimination (10.2)
  - Global code placement (8.6.2)
  - Lazy code motion (10.3)

- **Whole-program**
  - Inline substitution (8.7.1)
  - Procedure placement (8.7.2)

**Asides:**
- Data-flow analysis (Ch. 9)
- Liveness analysis (8.5.1, 9.2.2)
- Single static assignment (9.3)
Local Value Numbering

- Detect and remove redundant computation
  - Assign distinct numbers to each value computed
    - Typically using a hashing scheme
  - Requires SSA (or some similar scheme)
    - Avoids removing incorrect “redundancies”

```
Original
a = x
b = y
c = a + b;
d = a + b;
a = z;
e = a + b;

Optimized
a = x
b = y
c = a + b;
d = c;  // same number as c (a_0 + b)
a = z;
e = a + b;  // NOT the same number as c (a_1 + b)
```
Tree-Height Balancing

- Balance expression trees
  - Improves performance for CPUs with pipelining and/or multiple functional units (i.e., instruction-level parallelism)

(a) Left-Associative Tree
(b) Balanced Tree
(c) Right-Associative Tree

FIGURE 8.5 Potential Tree Shapes for $a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h$. 
Peephole Optimization

• Scan linear IR with sliding window ("peephole")
  - Look for common inefficient patterns
  - Replace with known equivalent sequences

Example:

storeAI r5 => [bp+8]  \rightarrow  storeAI r5 => [bp+8]
loadAI [bp+8] => r7  \rightarrow  \text{i2i} r5 => r7

Generalized pattern:

storeAI  \textcolor{red}{a} => \textcolor{red}{b}  \rightarrow  \text{storeAI}  \textcolor{red}{a} => \textcolor{red}{b}
loadAI  \textcolor{red}{b} => \textcolor{red}{c}  \rightarrow  i2i  \textcolor{red}{a} => \textcolor{red}{c}
Loop Unrolling

- Replace loop body with multiple copies
  - Reduces number of comparisons and nonlocal jumps
  - Exposes additional opportunities for other optimizations

```c
int i;
for (i=0; i<N-4; i+=4) {
    do_something(i);
    do_something(i+1);
    do_something(i+2);
    do_something(i+3);
}
for (; i<N; i++) {
    do_something(i);
}
```

Original

Unrolled by factor of 4
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1. *Loop Unrolling*. A loop can be unrolled completely so that the successive computations implied by the loop appear sequentially or it can be partially unrolled as in the following example:

```plaintext
DO I = 1 TO 100 BY 1;
A(I) = A(I) + B(I);
END;
```

becomes when unrolled by 2:

```plaintext
DO I = 1 TO 100 BY 2;
A(I) = A(I) + B(I);
A(I+1) = A(I+1) + B(I+1);
END;
```

The advantages of loop unrolling are that

a. the number of instructions executed is reduced. In the preceding example the number of increments and tests for loop control is halved.

b. more instructions are exposed for parallel execution. The two statements in the unrolled form of the preceding example can be executed at the same time since they are independent.
Dead Code Elimination

• Remove non-live definitions
  - Requires liveness analysis

```c
int x = a + b; // original
int y = a - b;
return x + 2;
```

```c
int x = a + b; // reduced code size
return x + 2;
```
Global Code Placement

- Arrange basic blocks in order according to likely control flow at run time (hot path)
  - Takes advantage of code locality (lower cost of fallthrough vs. taken branches)
  - Requires profiling information
  - Build chains of hot paths

Hot path chains: \((B_0, B_2, B_3)_{100}, (B_1)_1\)
Lazy Code Motion

- Move **loop-invariant** expressions out of loops
  - Combines three different dataflow analyses

```c
// original
for (int i=0; i<nblocks; i++) {
    a = sqrt(cos(b)+sin(b));
    printf("%f\n", calc_something(a+(float)i));
}

// optimized
a = sqrt(cos(b)+sin(b));
for (int i=0; i<nblocks; i++) {
    printf("%f\n", calc_something(a+(float)i));
}
```
Tail Recursion Elimination

- Some recursive procedures can be converted to iterative procedures automatically
  - Avoids the overhead of multiple calls

```c
// original
int fact(int n) {
    if (n < 2) return 1;
    return n * fact(n - 1);
}

// tail-recursive version
int fact(int n) {
    return fac_rec(1, n);
}

int fact_rec(int acc, int n) {
    if (n < 2) return acc;
    return fac_rec(n * acc, n - 1);
}

// removed tail recursion
int fact_rec(int acc, int n) {
    loop:
    if (n < 2) return acc;
    acc *= n;
    n--;
    goto loop;
}

// inlined and condition-inverted
int fact(int n) {
    int acc = 1;
    while (n > 1) {
        acc *= n;
        n--;
    }
    return acc;
}
```
Inline Substitution

- Replace procedure call with callee’s body
  - Avoids call overhead and exposes additional opportunities for other optimizations
  - **Decision procedure** to choose when to inline
    - Many potential criteria (callee/caller size, call count, etc.)

```c
int foo(int x, int y) {
    return x + bar(y);
}

int main() {
    a = foo(1,2);
    b = foo(3,4);
    c = foo(5,6);
    return a + b + c;
}
```

```c
int main() {
    a = 1 + bar(2);
    b = 3 + bar(4);
    c = 5 + bar(6);
    return a + b + c;
}
```

**Original**

**Inlined foo into main**
Procedure Placement

- Extension of global code placement to procedures
  - Uses call graph instead of control flow graph

```
Initial State
0.
P0
\[\begin{array}{cccccc}
  & & \downarrow 10 & & \downarrow 52 & \\
\bullet & \rightarrow & \bullet & \rightarrow & \bullet & \\
 20 & \rightarrow & 10 & \rightarrow & 50 & \\
P2 & \rightarrow & P3 & \rightarrow & P4 & \rightarrow P6 \\
\end{array}\]
```

```
List(x) | P0 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 \\
-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----
{0}    | {1} | {2} | {3} | {4} | {5} | {6} | \\
```

```
Q: \{ (P_5, P_6)_{104}, (P_5, P_4)_{52}, (P_1, P_2)_{20}, (P_1, P_4)_{100}, (P_1, P_3)_{10}, \\
(P_0, P_1)_{10}, (P_0, P_5)_{2}\}
```

```
1.
P0
\[\begin{array}{cccccc}
  & & \downarrow 10 & & \downarrow 52 & \\
\bullet & \rightarrow & \bullet & \rightarrow & \bullet & \\
 20 & \rightarrow & 10 & \rightarrow & 50 & \\
P2 & \rightarrow & P3 & \rightarrow & P4 & \rightarrow P6 \\
\end{array}\]
```

```
List(x) | P0 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 \\
-------|----|----|----|----|----|----
{0}    | {1} | {2} | {3} | {4} | {5} | {6} | \\
```

```
Q: \{ (P_5, P_4)_{52}, (P_1, P_2)_{20}, (P_1, P_4)_{10}, (P_1, P_3)_{10}, (P_0, P_1)_{10}, (P_0, P_5)_{2}\}
```

```
\ldots
```

```
6.
P0
\[\begin{array}{cccc}
  & & \\
\bullet & \rightarrow & \bullet \\
 20 & \rightarrow & 10 \\
P2 & \rightarrow & P3 \\
\end{array}\]
```

```
List(x) | P0 | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P4 | P3 \\
-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----
{0, 1} | {2} | {3} | {4} | {}  | {}  | {}  | {}  | {}  | {}  | \\
```

```
Q: \{ \emptyset\}
```

Link-Time Optimization

- Most compilers focus on a portion of the program at a time
  - Called a **compilation unit** (often a single file, class, or procedure)
  - Provides straightforward parallelization
  - Obstructs whole-program optimizations
  - **Link-time optimization** looks at the entire program

*Figure 1.3  The compilation system.*
Compiler Research

- Larger compilation units
  - What is the right balance?
- Smaller passes (e.g., nanopasses)
  - Allows for easy re-runs of passes
- **Gradual typing**: hybrid static & dynamic type checking
  - Some variables are annotated and checked statically
  - Others are checked at runtime
- **Incremental** compilation: cache IRs for future compilation
  - Improves re-compilation performance
- Integrated development environments (IDEs)
  - Greater collaboration w/ developer
  - Combination of systems and human-computer interaction (HCI)