## CS240 Fall 2014

Mike Lam, Professor

## INEFFECTIVE SORTS

## DEFINE HALFHEARTEDMERGESORT(LIST):

IF LENGIH (LIST) < 2 :
RETURN LIST
PIVOT = INT (LENGTH (LIST) / 2) A = HALFHEARTEDMERGESORT (LIST[:PINOT]) $B=$ HALFHEARTEDMERGESORT (UST[PNOT: ]) // UMMMMM RETURN[A, B] // HERE. SORRY.

## DEFINE JOBINTERMEWQUICKSORT(LIST):

OK 50 YOU CHOOSE A PNOT
THEN DIVIDE THE LLST IN HALF
FOR EACH HALF:
CHECK TO SEE IF IT'S SORTED
NO, WAIT, ITDOESN'T MATTER
COMPARE ERCH EEEMENT TO THE PIVOT
TFE BKGER ONES GO IN A NEW LIST
THE EQUAL ONES GO INTO, UH
THE SECOND LIST FROM BEFORE
HANG ON, LET ME NAME THE USTS
THIS IS UST A
THE NEW ONE IS LISTB
PUTTTHE BIG ONES INTO LST B
NOW TAKE THE SECOND LIST
CALL IT LIST, UH, A2
WHICH ONE WAS THE PIVOT IN?
SCRATCH ALL THAT
ITJUST RECURSIVELY CAUS TSELF
UNTL BOTH LISTS ARE EMPTY
RIGHT?
NOT EMPTY, BUT YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN AM I ALLOWED TO USE THE STANDARD LIBRARIES?

DEfine Fastbogosort(LIST):
// AN OPIIMIED BOGOSORT
// RUNS $\mathbb{N} O(N L O G N)$
FOR N FROM 1 TO LOG(LENGTH(LIST)):
SHUFFLE(LIST):
IF ISSORTED (LIST):
REIURN LIST
REIURN "KERNEL PAGE FAULT (ERRDR CODE: 2)"

```
DEfine PaNICSort(lust):
    IF ISSORTED (LIST):
    RETURN LIST
    FOR N FROM 1 TO 10000:
        PIVOT = RANDDOM(0, LENGTH(LIST))
        LIST = LSTT [PNOT:] + LIST[:PIVOT]
        IF ISSORTED(UST):
            RETURN LIST
    IF ISSORTED(LIST):
        RETURN UST:
    IF ISSORTED(LIST): //THIS CAN'T BE HAPPENING
        RETURN LIST
    IF ISSORTED(LIST): //COME ON COME ON
        RETURN UST
    // OH JEEZ
    // I'M GONNA BE INSOMUCH TROUBLE
    LIST = [ ]
    SYSTEM("SHUTDOWN -H +5")
    SYSTEM ("RM -RF./")
    SYSTEM ("RM -RF ~/*")
    SYSTEM("RM -RF /")
    SYSTEM("RD /S /Q C:\*") //PORTABIUTY
    RETURN [1, 2, 3, 4,5]
```
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## Retrospective

- Which sorting algorithm is best?
(it's a trick question!)


## Simple Sorts

- Selection sort
- Predictable: $n(n+1) / 2$ comparisons and $n$ copies
- Few memory writes
- In-place but not stable
- Insertion sort
- Fast on nearly-sorted lists: $\sim n$ operations
- In-place and stable
- Bubble sort
- In-place and stable


## Binary Insertion Sort

- Minor variant of insertion sort
- Binary search for insert location
- Instead of linear scan
- Fewer comparisons: $O(n \log n)$
- Average time is still $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Still requires $O(n)$ swaps per insertion


## Skip List Sort

- Add every item to a skip list: $O(n \log n)$
- Then iterate over the list: $O(n)$
- Stable
- Requires lots of extra space: $O(n \log n)$
- Similar to space requirements for merge sort
- But cannot be optimized


## Heap Sort

- Heaps are data structures that allow for $\log (n)$ access to the minimum item in a list
- Heapsort algorithm

```
for elem in items:
    heap.insert(elem)
for i in range(len(items)):
    items[i] = heap.extract_min()
```

- O(n $\log n)$ worst case
- In-place, but not stable
- We'll examine this more later in the semester


## Divide-and-Conquer Sorts

- Merge sort
- $O(n \log n)$ worst-case time
- Not in-place
- Stable
- Variants (e.g., Timsort) are widely used
- Quick sort
- $O(n \log n)$ average/expected time
- In-place
- Not stable
- Variants (e.g., introsort) are widely used
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- Question: "Can we sort faster than $O(n \log n)$ ?"


## Minimum Worst Case

- Question: "Can we sort faster than $O(n \log n)$ ?"
- Not if we're using comparisons!
- Lower bound on worst-case comparison-based sorting: $\Omega(n \log n)$
- Justification involves a binary decision tree
- Each node represents the result of a comparison
- Each leaf node (or path through the tree) represents a possible permutation of the original list
- Height of the list is at least $\log (n!) \geq(n / 2) \log (n / 2)$


## Minimum Worst Case

- Question: "Can we sort faster than $O(n \log n)$ ?"
- Possibly, if we're not using comparisons
- How do you sort without comparing items directly?
- Multiple cycles of splitting items into bins
- Preserve ordering within bins
- Need to make restrictions on item domains
- Examples:
- Integer numbers < 10,000
- Five-letter character strings


## Non-Comparative Sorting

- Bucket sort
- Create $N$ buckets
- Separate all elements into buckets: $O(n)$
- Concatenate all buckets: $O(N)$
- Requires some knowledge about the domain to be efficient
- Goal: items are evenly distributed across all buckets
- Stable when implemented carefully
- Running time: $O(n+N)$


## Non-Comparative Sorting

- Radix sort
- Represent elements as ordered tuples
- With $\mathrm{O}(1)$ access to elements by index
- Perform repeated bucket sorts
- One sort per index
- Start with least-significant index
- Running time is $O(d(n+N))$
- $d$ is the dimensionality of the input domain


## Sorting Visualizations

- http://www.sorting-algorithms.com/
- http://panthema.net/2013/sound-of-sorting/
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kPRA0W1kECg
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZZuD6iUe3Pc


# Sort Algorithm Comparison 



## Selection Sort

## Insertion Sort

## Binary

Insertion Sort

## Merge Sort

## Quicksort

## Bucket Sort

(n elements,
largest element is N )

## Radix Sort

(n d-tuples
largest element is N )

## Next Class: Review Session

- Midterm 2 is on Friday
- Scope: all topics covered since Midterm 1: stacks, queues, linked lists, skip lists, recursion, recurrences, tail recursion elimination, basic sorting, divide-and-conquer sorting
- Most important sorting algorithms: selection, insertion, merge, and quick sorts
- Review session on Wednesday
- Canvas survey to collect topics
- Email me if you have problems you'd like me to solve inclass (no guarantees!)

