

CS240

Fall 2014

Mike Lam, Professor

Analysis of Python Sequences

Python Sequence Analysis

Fill in the following tables:

* amortized

Non-mutating behaviors: lists and tuples

Operation	Running Time
<code>len(data)</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data[i]</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data.count(value)</code>	
<code>data.index(value)</code>	$O(k+1)$
<code>value in data</code>	
<code>data1 == data2</code>	
<code>data[i:j]</code>	
<code>data1 + data2</code>	
<code>c * data</code>	

Mutating behaviors: lists only

Operation	Running Time
<code>data[i] = value</code>	
<code>data.append(value)</code>	$O(1)$ *
<code>data.insert(i, value)</code>	
<code>data.pop()</code>	
<code>data.pop(i)</code>	
<code>data.remove(value)</code>	
<code>data1.extend(data2)</code>	
<code>data.reverse()</code>	$O(n)$
<code>data.sort()</code>	

`data`, `data1`, and `data2` are sequences with lengths of n , n_1 , and n_2 , respectively

k is the index of the leftmost occurrence; m is the leftmost index of disagreement or $\min(n_1, n_2)$

Python Sequence Analysis

- `len(data)` $O(1)$
 - return the length of data
- `data[i]` $O(1)$
 - access the element at index `i`
- `data.count(value)`
 - return the number of times `value` occurs in data
- `data.index(value)` $O(k+1)$
 - return the index of the leftmost occurrence of `value` in data
- `value in data`
 - return True if `value` is present in data
- `data1 == data2`
 - return True if the arrays contain the same elements
- `data[i:j]`
 - extract sublist of items from index `i` up to but not including `j`
- `data1 + data2`
 - create new list with all items from `data2` appended to `data1`
- `c * data`
 - create new list with the items in `data` duplicated `c` times
- `data[i] = value`
 - change the element at index `i`
- `data.append(value)` $O(1)$ *
 - add `value` to the end of data
- `data.insert(i, value)`
 - add `value` at index `i`
- `data.pop()`
 - remove last value from data
- `data.pop(i)`
 - remove item at index `i` from data
- `data.remove(value)`
 - remove leftmost occurrence of `value` from data
- `data1.extend(data2)`
 - append all items from `data2` to `data1`
- `data.reverse()` $O(n)$
 - reverse the ordering of items in data
- `data.sort()`
 - sort the items in data

* amortized

Non-mutating behaviors

- `len(data)` $O(1)$
 - List: we track the length of the list as it changes
 - Tuple: it is set at initialization and never changed
 - Both are just lookups

Non-mutating behaviors

- `data[i]` $O(1)$
 - Arrays can be indexed in $O(1)$
 - One multiplication, one addition
 - In Python, also one memory dereference

Non-mutating behaviors

- `data.count(value)` $O(n)$
 - Must examine every element to see if it matches

Non-mutating behaviors

- `data.index(value)` $O(k+1)$
 - k is the index of the leftmost occurrence
 - $k = n$ if value is not in data
 - Must examine elements up to and including the one we're looking for
 - $O(n)$ is also true, because $n > k$

Non-mutating behaviors

- value in data $O(k+1)$
 - Same as previous
 - No less work to return a boolean than to return the index

Non-mutating behaviors

- `data1 == data2` $O(m+1)$
 - m is the leftmost index of disagreement or $\min(n_1, n_2)$
 - Worst case: examine all elements from smallest list/tuple
 - However, if we find a non-matching element, we can short-circuit

Non-mutating behaviors

- `data[i:j]` $O(j-i)$
 - Need to copy $j-i$ elements
 - No need to visit other elements
 - Remember: `data[i]` provides $O(1)$ access to individual elements

Non-mutating behaviors

- `data1 + data2` $O(n_1 + n_2)$
 - Need to copy all elements of both lists/tuples

Non-mutating behaviors

- `c * data` $O(cn)$
 - Need to copy all elements `c` times

Python Sequence Analysis

Fill in the following tables:

Non-mutating behaviors: lists and tuples

Operation	Running Time
<code>len(data)</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data[i]</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data.count(value)</code>	$O(n)$
<code>data.index(value)</code>	$O(k+1)$
<code>value in data</code>	$O(k+1)$
<code>data1 == data2</code>	$O(m+1)$
<code>data[i:j]</code>	$O(j-i)$
<code>data1 + data2</code>	$O(n_1+n_2)$
<code>c * data</code>	$O(cn)$

Mutating behaviors: lists only

Operation	Running Time
<code>data[i] = value</code>	
<code>data.append(value)</code>	$O(1)$ *
<code>data.insert(i, value)</code>	
<code>data.pop()</code>	
<code>data.pop(i)</code>	
<code>data.remove(value)</code>	
<code>data1.extend(data2)</code>	
<code>data.reverse()</code>	$O(n)$
<code>data.sort()</code>	

`data`, `data1`, and `data2` are sequences with lengths of n , n_1 , and n_2 , respectively

k is the index of the leftmost occurrence; m is the leftmost index of disagreement or $\min(n_1, n_2)$

Python Sequence Analysis

- `len(data)` $O(1)$
 - return the length of data
- `data[i]` $O(1)$
 - access the element at index `i`
- `data.count(value)`
 - return the number of times `value` occurs in data
- `data.index(value)` $O(k+1)$
 - return the index of the leftmost occurrence of `value` in data
- `value in data`
 - return True if `value` is present in data
- `data1 == data2`
 - return True if the arrays contain the same elements
- `data[i:j]`
 - extract sublist of items from index `i` up to but not including `j`
- `data1 + data2`
 - create new list with all items from `data2` appended to `data1`
- `c * data`
 - create new list with the items in `data` duplicated `c` times
- `data[i] = value`
 - change the element at index `i`
- `data.append(value)` $O(1)$ *
 - add `value` to the end of data
- `data.insert(i, value)`
 - add `value` at index `i`
- `data.pop()`
 - remove last value from data
- `data.pop(i)`
 - remove item at index `i` from data
- `data.remove(value)`
 - remove leftmost occurrence of `value` from data
- `data1.extend(data2)`
 - append all items from `data2` to `data1`
- `data.reverse()` $O(n)$
 - reverse the ordering of items in data
- `data.sort()`
 - sort the items in data

* amortized

Mutating behaviors

- `data[i] = value` $O(1)$
 - Remember, array access/modification is $O(1)$

Mutating behaviors

- `data.append(value)` $O(1)$ *
 - This is the amortized cost!
 - See dynamic array slides for details

Mutating behaviors

- `data.insert(i, value)` $O(n-i+1)$ *
 - Need to shift elements right, starting at index `i`
 - Then a single copy operation
 - Use amortized argument for expanding arrays
 - Inserting towards the beginning of a list is more expensive than inserting towards the end of a list

Mutating behaviors

- `data.pop(:)` $O(1)$ *
 - No need to shift elements
 - Need amortized analysis because Python lists shrink themselves when the capacity is no longer needed

Mutating behaviors

- `data.pop(i)` $O(n-i)$ *
 - Need to shift elements left, starting at index i
 - Removing from the beginning of a list is more expensive than removing from the end of a list
 - As with `pop()`, need amortized analysis because the list may shrink

Mutating behaviors

- `data.remove(value)` $O(n)$ *
 - Need a comparison operation for k
 - Need a copy/shift operation for k
 - No best/worst/average; it is technically $O(n)$
 - Again, amortized analysis because the list shrinks

Mutating behaviors

- `data1.extend(data2)` $O(n_2)$ *
 - Need to copy every element of data2
 - Need amortized argument because we'll have to expand data1
 - More efficient than repeated appends
 - Not asymptotically, but in terms of actual CPU time
 - We can expand the array once, rather than repeatedly as we append

Mutating behaviors

- `data.reverse()` $O(n)$
 - Need to copy every element
 - In pairs (because it's an in-place reversal)
 - May actually be $1.5n$ copy operations

Mutating behaviors

- `data.sort(:)` $O(n \log n)$
 - Naive algorithms are $O(n^2)$
 - Compare every element with $O(n)$ other elements
 - Better algorithms use divide-and-conquer
 - Compare every element with $O(\log n)$ other elements
 - We'll discuss this more later in the semester

Python Sequence Analysis

Fill in the following tables:

* amortized

Non-mutating behaviors: lists and tuples

Mutating behaviors: lists only

Operation	Running Time
<code>len(data)</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data[i]</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data.count(value)</code>	$O(n)$
<code>data.index(value)</code>	$O(k+1)$
<code>value in data</code>	$O(k+1)$
<code>data1 == data2</code>	$O(m+1)$
<code>data[i:j]</code>	$O(j-i)$
<code>data1 + data2</code>	$O(n_1+n_2)$
<code>c * data</code>	$O(cn)$

Operation	Running Time
<code>data[i] = value</code>	$O(1)$
<code>data.append(value)</code>	$O(1)$ *
<code>data.insert(i, value)</code>	$O(n-i+1)$ *
<code>data.pop()</code>	$O(1)$ *
<code>data.pop(i)</code>	$O(n-i)$ *
<code>data.remove(value)</code>	$O(n)$ *
<code>data1.extend(data2)</code>	$O(n_2)$ *
<code>data.reverse()</code>	$O(n)$
<code>data.sort()</code>	$O(n \log n)$

`data`, `data1`, and `data2` are sequences with lengths of n , n_1 , and n_2 , respectively

k is the index of the leftmost occurrence; m is the leftmost index of disagreement or $\min(n_1, n_2)$

Python String Analysis

	Complexity class
Derivation <code>lower(), strip(), center()</code>	
Testing/comparison <code>islower(), isnumeric(), ==, <, ></code>	
Pattern matching <code>str1 in str2, find(), replace(), split()</code>	
Repeated concatenation <pre>for ch in old_str: new_str += ch</pre>	

String behaviors

- Derivation: $O(n)$
 - `lower()`, `strip()`, `center()`
 - Creating a new string of length n inherently requires $O(n)$ operations
 - Copying n bytes requires $O(n)$ CPU cycles
 - Changing the string will cost even more operations (but generally still $O(1)$ per character)

String behaviors

- Testing/comparison: $O(n)$
 - `islower()`, `isnumeric()`, `==`, `<`, `>`
 - Worst case: examine all characters
 - Most operations can short-circuit, but the asymptotic behavior is still $O(n)$

String behaviors

- Pattern matching: $O(mn)$
 - `str1 in str2`, `find()`, `replace()`, `split()`
 - Worst case: compare every character in the string to every element in the pattern
 - m characters in the pattern
 - n characters in the string
 - Usually the pattern is shorter than the string
 - The m could be considered a constant when the pattern is very short (e.g., consider searching for a single character)
 - $O(n+m)$ is possible (see section 13.2)
 - This is $O(n)$ if m is small relative to n

String behaviors

- Repeated concatenation: $O(n^2)$
 - `for ch in old_str:`
 - `new_str += ch`
 - Strings are immutable in Python (and in Java)
 - `new_str += ch` creates a new string every time!
 - This requires $O(n)$ copy operations
 - $O(n)$ operations each for the n characters in `old_str` leads to $O(n^2)$ total
 - Use a temporary list or a comprehension instead

Python String Analysis

	Complexity Class
Derivation <code>lower(), strip(), center()</code>	$O(n)$
Testing/comparison <code>islower(), isnumeric(), ==, <, ></code>	$O(n)$
Pattern matching <code>str1 in str2, find(), replace(), split()</code>	$O(mn)$
Repeated concatenation <pre>for ch in old_str: new_str += ch</pre>	$O(n^2)$

Midterm next week

- Midterm is in-class on Wednesday
 - Topics: anything covered thus far in the class (including today's content)
- Review session on Monday
 - Come with questions!